

## Hornsea Project Four

Statement of Common Ground between Hornsea Project Four and National Highways

Request for further information, Date: 13 January 2023

Document Reference: F3.3

Revision: 02

PreparedØrsted, December 2022CheckedØrsted, December 2022

**Accepted** Thomas Watts, Ørsted, December 2022 **Approved** Julian Carolan, Ørsted, December 2022

> Doc Ref No. F3.3 Version C



### **Revision History**

Date	Version	Reason for issue	
10/08/2021	Α	1st draft for National Highways with proposed areas of agreements	
22/09/2021	В	2 <sup>nd</sup> draft for DCO application submission	
13/01/2023	02	Updated version following request from BEIS to provided with signatures.	

### **Signatories**

Signed	
Name	Mr Simon GP Geoghegan
Position	Planning & Development
For	National Highways
Signed	
Name	Dr Julian Carolan
Position	Consent Project Manager
For	Orsted Hornsea Project Four Limited



### **Table of Contents**

1	Introd	luction	5
	1.1	Reason for this document	5
	1.2	Approach to SoCG	5
	1.3	Application elements under National Highways remit	5
	1.4	Overview of Hornsea Four	5
2	Consi	ultation	6
	2.1	Summary of consultation with National Highways	6
3	Onsh	ore Agreement Log	8
	3.1	Overview	8
	3.2	Traffic and Transport	9
4	Sumn	nary	11
L	ist	of Tables	
		ummary of pre-application consultation with the Highways England (now National	_
		)osition Status Key	
		greement Log: Traffic and Transport	



### Glossary

Term	Definition
Development Consent	An order made under the Planning Act 2008 granting development consent
Order (DCO)	for one or more Nationally Significant Infrastructure Projects (NSIP).
Hornsea Project Four	The term covers all elements of the project (i.e. both the offshore and
Offshore Wind Farm	onshore). Hornsea Four infrastructure will include offshore generating
	stations (wind turbines), electrical export cables to landfall, and connection
	to the electricity transmission network. Hereafter referred to as Hornsea
	Four.

### **Acronyms**

Acronym	Definition	
CEA	Cumulative Effects Assessment	
CTMP	Construction Traffic Management Plan	
DCO	Development Consent Order	
ECC	Export Cable Corridor	
EIA	Environmental Impact Assessment	
ES	Environmental Statement	
ExA	Examining Authority	
HVAC	High Voltage Alternating Current	
HVDC	High Voltage Direct Current	
LSE	Likely Significant Effect	
MHWS	Mean High Water Springs	
MLWS	Mean Low Water Springs	
SoCG	Statement of Common Ground	
SRN	Strategic Road Network	
OnSS	Onshore substation	
PEIR	Preliminary Environmental Information Report	
UK	United Kingdom	



#### 1 Introduction

#### 1.1 Reason for this document

- 1.1.1.1 This Statement of Common Ground (SoCG) has been prepared between Orsted Hornsea Project Four Limited ('the Applicant') and National Highways to set out the areas of agreement and disagreement between the two parties in relation to the proposed Development Consent Order (DCO) application for the Hornsea Project Four offshore wind farm (hereafter referred to as 'Hornsea Four').
- 1.1.1.2 This SoCG covers the onshore topic of Traffic and Transport only.
- 1.1.1.3 It is the intention that this document will facilitate further discussions between the Applicant and National Highways and will provide the Examining Authority (ExA) with a clear overview of the level of common ground between both parties. This document will be updated throughout the application process.

#### 1.2 Approach to SoCG

- 1.2.1.1 The Applicant took the decision at an early stage to adopt a proportionate approach to Environmental Impact Assessment (EIA) for Hornsea Four which is detailed and integrated throughout the application for development consent. The Impacts Register (Volume A4, Annex 5.1: Impacts Register) is a key tool that details all potential impacts identified for Hornsea Four and sets the scope of the EIA at various stages of the project (Scoping, Preliminary Environmental Information Report (PEIR) and DCO). In line with the Applicants approach to proportionality, only Likely Significant Effects (LSE) are included within the individual topic assessments of the Environmental Statement (ES).
- 1.2.1.2 The structure of this SoCG is as follows:
  - Section 1: Introduction;
  - Section 2: Consultation;
  - Section 3: Agreement Log;
  - **Section 4**: Summary.

#### 1.3 Application elements under National Highways remit

1.3.1.1 The elements of Hornsea Four which may affect the interests of National Highways are work numbers 6 to 10, onshore; however, it is noted that no element of the project falls within the jurisdiction of National Highways, only associated traffic movements. These are detailed in Part 1 (Authorised Development) of Schedule 1 (Authorised Project) of the draft DCO (Volume C1.1: Draft DCO including Draft DML).

#### 1.4 Overview of Hornseg Four

1.4.1.1 Hornsea Four is an offshore wind farm which will be located approximately 69 km offshore the East Riding of Yorkshire in the Southern North Sea and will be the fourth project to be



developed in the former Hornsea Zone. Hornsea Four will include both offshore and onshore infrastructure and consists of:

- Hornsea Four array area: This is where the offshore wind generating station will be located which will include the turbines, array cables, offshore accommodation platforms and a range of offshore substations as well as offshore interconnector cables and export cables;
- Hornsea Four offshore export cable corridor (ECC): This is where the permanent
  offshore electrical infrastructure (offshore export cables, as well as the High Voltage
  Alternating Current (HVAC) booster station (if required), will be located;
- Hornsea Four intertidal area: This is the area between Mean High Water Springs (MHWS) and Mean Low Water Springs (MLWS) through which all of the offshore export cables will be installed;
- **Hornsea Four onshore export cable corridor:** This is where the permanent onshore electrical cable infrastructure will be located; and
- Hornsea Four onshore substation (OnSS) including energy balancing infrastructure:

  This is where the permanent onshore electrical substation infrastructure (onshore High Voltage Direct Current (HVDC) converter/HVAC substation, energy balancing infrastructure and connections to the National Grid) will be located.

#### 2 Consultation

#### 2.1 Summary of consultation with National Highways

2.1.1.1 **Table 1** summarises the consultation that the Applicant has undertaken with National Highways (noting that consultation was largely undertaken under national Highway's previous name, Highways England) during the pre-application phase. In addition, a number of Position Statements and draft documents (including the impacts Register) have been issued throughout the per-application stage of Hornsea Four, for review and comment.



Table 1: Summary of pre-application consultation with the Highways England (now National Highways).

Date	Form of consultation	Statutory/Non	Summary
		Statutory	
15/10/2018	Consultation	Statutory	Hornsea Project Four Offshore Wind Farm
			Scoping Report
13/08/2019	Consultation	Statutory	Hornsea Project Four PEIR
			Published for statutory Section 42 consultation.
5/09/2019	Meeting	Non-statutory	Meeting held between the Applicant and
			Highways England (now National Highways) to
			discuss and agree on the approach to assessment,
			cumulative effect assessment, abnormal indivisible
			loads, and other general areas associated with
			traffic and transport.
15/04/2020	Draft documents	Non-statutory	An early draft of the outline Construction Traffic
			Management Plan was shared by the Applicant
			with National Highways for review and comments.
18/12/2020	Draft documents	Non-statutory	The draft Outline Construction Traffic
			Management Plan (updated to account for
			previous comments provided by stakeholders),
			Traffic and Transport ES Chapter and
			accompanying technical annex were shared with
			National Highways for review and comment.
			Furthermore, the draft Impacts Register (traffic
			and transport tab) and Commitments Register
			were also shared. Comments were received from
			national Highways and subsequently incorporated
			into the final outline Construction Traffic
			Management Plan for DCO application submission.
15/01/2021	Email	Non-statutory	National Highways comments / feedback on the
			draft documents submitted for review by the
			Applicant on 18/12/2020.
19/02/2021	Email	Non-statutory	Responses from the Applicant to comments
			provided by National Highways on 15/02/2021, on
			draft documents issued by the Applicant on
			18/12/2020.
19/05/2021	Email		National Highways response and overall approval
			of comments / responses provided by the
			Applicant on 19/02/2021.



#### 3 Onshore Agreement Log

#### 3.1 Overview

- 3.1.1.1 The following sections of this SoCG set out the level of agreement between the parties for the relevant onshore topic (as identified in paragraph 1.1.1.2).
- 3.1.1.2 In order to easily identify whether a matter is 'agreed', 'not agreed' or an 'ongoing point of discussion', the colour coding system set out in Table 2 is used within the 'position' column of the following sections of this document.
- 3.1.1.3 The following section of this SoCG summaries the level of agreement between Hornsea Four and National Highways on all relevant matters landward of MHWS.

Table 2: Position Status Key.

Position Status	Position Colour Coding
Agreed	Agreed
The matter is considered to be agreed between the parties	
Not Agreed – no material impact	Not Agreed – no material
The matter is not agreed between the parties, however the outcome of the	impact
approach taken by either the Applicant or National Highways is not considered to	
result in a material impact to the assessment conclusions.	
Not Agreed – material impact	Not Agreed – material
The matter is not agreed between the parties and the outcome of the approach	impact
taken by either the Applicant or National Highways is considered to result in a	
materially different impact to the assessment conclusions.	
Ongoing point of discussion	Ongoing point of discussion
The matter is neither 'agreed' nor 'not agreed' and is a matter where further	
discussion is required between the parties (e.g where documents are yet to be	
shared with National Highways).	



### 3.2 Traffic and Transport

Table 3: Agreement Log: Traffic and Transport

ID	Statement on which agreement is sought	Position	Commentary
Hornse	a Four Design		
G3.3:	The temporary construction access locations proposed by	Not Agreed –	Access locations are not
1.1	Hornsea Four during construction (identified on Figure 11 of	no material	directly taken from SRN and
	Volume A6, Annex 7.1: Traffic and Transport) are	impact	therefore are an issue for the
	appropriate.		Local Highway Authority.
EIA – P	olicy and planning		
G3.3:	Volume A3, Chapter 7: Traffic and Transport has identified	Agreed	Cir 02/2013 referenced
1.2	all relevant plans and policies and appropriate		
	consideration has been given to them in the assessment.		
EIA – B	aseline Environment		
G3.3:	The ES adequately defines the baseline environment	Agreed	Scope of study area agreed
1.3	relevant to Traffic and Transport in Volume A3, Chapter 7:		through discussions
	Traffic and Transport, to inform the EIA.		
G3.3:	The future baseline identified in Volume A3, Chapter 7:	Not Agreed –	It was agreed that levels of
1.4	Traffic and Transport is considered appropriate.	no material	operation was not necessary as
		impact	impact would be controlled
		·	through CTMP and assessments
			would only be undertaken if
			necessary at this time. See
			paras 7.7.4.4 – 7.7.4.6 of
			Volume A3, Chapter 7.
FIΔ — Δ	ssessment Methodology		votame /to, enapter /.
G3.3:	The study area identified in Section 7.5 of Volume A3,	Agreed	Scope of study area agreed
1.5	Chapter 7: Traffic and Transport, is appropriate.	, igicca	through discussions
G3.3:	The maximum design scenarios identified and outlined,	Not Agreed –	For SRN junctions assessment
1.6	where relevant, for each impact in Section 7.9 and Table	no material	has been deferred and impact
1.0	7.13 of Volume A3, Chapter 7: Traffic and Transport, and	impact	can be controlled through the
	in the 'Traffic and Transport' tab of Volume A4, Annex 5.1:	impact	CTMP.
	Impacts Register, represent the maximum project		CIT III .
	parameters for assessment. This represents the peak HGV,		
C7 7	LCV and employee traffic generation for Hornsea Four.	A grand d	Detential for increase desire
G3.3:	The potential impacts identified in Table 7.11 and Section	Agreed	Potential for impact during
1.7	7.11 of Volume A3, Chapter 7: Traffic and Transport, and		construction idenfied with
	in the 'Traffic and Transport' tab of Volume A4, Annex 5.1:		Chapter 7. Including control
	Impacts Register, represent a comprehensive list of the		through CTMP.
	potential impacts.		
G3.3:	The definitions for 'magnitude' and 'sensitivity, as outlined	Agreed	Approrpiate for ES purposes.
1.8	in Section 7.10 of Volume A3, Chapter 7: Traffic and		Other assessment criteria
	Transport, are appropriate.		relevant for traffic assessment
			however, the level of traffic



ID	Statement on which agreement is sought	Position	Commentary
			impact will be controlled through the CTMP.
G3.3:	The origin and distribution of HGV and LCV movements and	Not Agreed –	As set out in para 7.7.4.4, there
1.9	employee traffic used to inform impact assessments in	no material	are a number of variables which
	Volume A3, Chapter 7: Traffic and Transport, is	impact	are currently unknown which
	appropriate and represents a Maximum Design Scenario.		will impact on the level and distribution of traffic. In order to address this when more information is known, it will be assessed within the CTMP at a later date.
G3.3:	Consideration of the routeing of Abnormal Indivisible Loads	Agreed	Detailed consideration will need
1.10	(AIL) associated with the OnSS is presented in Volume A6,		to be given at the time of AIL
	Annex 7.1: Abnormal Load Report		movements which will need to consider the current status of
	The movement of AILs will be subject to separate		works at Castle Street.
	agreement with the relevant highway authorities and		
	police through the Electronic Service Delivery for Abnormal		
	Loads system.		
EIA – A	ssessment Conclusions		_
G3.3:	The conclusion is appropriate that no likely significant	Agreed	All impacts to be managed
1.11	effect was identified at Scoping for impacts TT-C-1		through CTMP
	(movement of offshore project components on road		
	network), TT-O-10 (operation and maintenance) and TT-O-		
	11 (decommissioning) and resulted in these potential		
	impacts being 'Scoped out' of further assessment in the PEIR and ES.		
G3.3:	The assessments TT-C- 2 (driver delay (capacity)) and TT-C-	Agreed	This is the key aspect for
1.12	12 (cumulative effects) are not considered in the ES as they		National Highways
	will be addressed post-determination within the final		
	CTMP, secured under Requirement 18 of the draft DCO		
	(Volume C1.1: Draft DCO). This is considered appropriate.		
G3.3:	The assessment of potential effects on the local highway	Not Agreed –	This is an issued for the local
1.13	network in Volume A3, Chapter 7: Traffic and Transport is	no material	highway authority and not
	appropriate and proportionate and identifies the likely	impact	National Highways
	significant effects from Hornsea Four.		
Draft D	CO / Outline Management Plans / Mitigation and Monitoring		
G3.3:	The measures described in the oCTMP (which forms an	Agreed	Principle of a CTMP to control
1.14	appendix to F2.2: Outline Code of Construction Practice)		the impact of the development
	are appropriate and adequately mitigate likely significant		is agreed. Details will need to
	effects identified in Volume A3, Chapter 7: Traffic and		be agreed in the final CTMP
	Transport and in the 'Traffic and Transport' tab of Volume		once further details of staffing,
	A4, Annex 5.1: Impacts Register. Further detail and site-		routing and shift timing s are
	specific measures will be agreed with National Highways		known.
	insofar as the measures are relevant to matters within the		



ID	Statement on which agreement is sought	Position	Commentary
	jurisdiction of National highways, in the final CTMP secured		
	under Requirement 18 of the draft DCO (Volume C1.1:		
	Draft DCO)		
G3.3:	The oCTMP (which forms an appendix to F2.2: Outline	Agreed	High level scope agreed (see
1.15	Code of Construction Practice) provides the adequate		comments within CH2M review
	framework to secure necessary mitigation measures to		DevHU0016 TM002 dated 15
	facilitate the removal of impacts TT-C- 2 (driver delay		January 2021.
	(capacity)) and TT-C-12 (cumulative effects) from		
	consideration in the EIA.		
G3.3:	The monitoring procedures set out in the oCTMP (which	Agreed	Additional / alternative
1.16	forms an appendix to F2.2: Outline Code of Construction		monitoring may be required
	Practice) are appropriate and comprehensive.		(depending on final details
			within CTMP). These can be
			agreed
G3.3:	The Applicant and National highways have discussed	Agreed	Ongoing discussions through the
1.17	potential interaction between Hornsea Four and the Castle		project will be required to
	Street Highway Improvement Scheme. This has resulted in		ensure no issues arise.
	Hornsea Four amending the Abnormnal Indivisible Load		
	study to account for a potential overlap of construction.		

#### 4 Summary

4.1.1.1 This SoCG has outlined the consultation that has taken place between the Applicant and National Highways during the pre-application phase. The agreement logs present the position reached at the point of DCO application between Hornsea Four and National Highways in relation to relevant onshore matters.